IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGICAL CIVIL JURISDICTION.

WRIT PETITION NO.943 2004

Lehu Tukaram Dalvi & Ors.)..Petitioners

versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors)..Respondents

Mr.G.M.Savagave, for the Petitioner
Mr. C.R. Sonawane, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos.1 to 3.

.....

CORAM; F.I. REBELLO & S.P.KUKDAY,JJ.

DATED; 4TH FEBRUARY, 2005

P.C.:

1. Rule. Heard forthwith.

2. The petitioner NO.1 was working since 25th December, 1992. The petitioner No.2 was working since 10th January, 1993 and Petitioner No.3 was working since 1st August, 1995.

3. In terms of the G.R. dated 22nd October, 1996 it is only those candidates who had worked for less than 10 years and more than 3 years as on 30th November, 1995 who are eligible to have their names sent to the Regional Selection Board. None of the petitioners have completed minimum three years. Hence there is no question of their names being forwarded and consequently no relief can be granted to the

petitioner.

4. Considering the above Writ Petition rejected.

5. Considering the law laid down by the Apex Court in **A.Umarani vs. Registrar, Co-operative Societies & Ors., (2004) 7 SCC 112**,the State Government may consider taking steps to issue G.R. in the matter of regularisation strictly in terms of the judgment in A. Umarani (supra) and as explained by this Court in its judgment dated 14th December, 2004 in Mumbai Mazdoor Sangh vs. Air India Limited in Writ Petition No.821 of 2003 in this Judgment.

(F.I. REBELLO, J.)

(S.P. KUKDAY, J.)